# PTSD: Traumatic Sensitization & latrogenic Amplification: Therapeutic Antitheses 1. John Oakley Beahrs, M.D., with 2. Bill O'Hanlon, M.S. 3. Michael Yapko, Ph.D. 4. Jeffrey K. Zeig, Ph.D. December 13, 2019

6

### 1. Knowledge normally empowers, but 2. for trauma, it's <u>SENSITIZED</u> us → 3. Fear of fear itself ("Traumatophobia") ¹ -"Try to avoid trauma, at any cost!" -↑↑↑ vulnerability to most stressors 4. Attempted Solutions Fail → MORE TRAUMA! How? Why? WHAT TO DO? Indiv, Group, Society?

7

### Institute of Medicine (2003) <sup>2</sup> 1. Psychological Vulnerability (a) urgent societal priority post-9.11 (b) terrorists knowingly exploit this 2. Challenge to Society: (a) shore up our defenses: (b) Δ → RESILIENCE (we're failing) 3. Questions: Potential Therapist Role? (a) what to do, how, at what levels?

### **Strategic Reasoning**

- 1. PROBLEM? how, for whom, what level?
- 2. ATTEMPTED SOLUTIONS? How failed?
- 3. GOAL? Whose? Conflict & incongruity
- 4. CLIENTS' "LANGUAGE"? shaping from bottom up and top down
- 5. STRATEGY? (a) problem → goal
  - (b) versus attempted solutions
  - (c) framing in client's language
  - (d) MHE: rapport, modify, change
  - (e) Weakland: focus ≠ identified clt.

9

### Who's the Client?

- 1. INDIVIDUAL = Locus of Control for
  - (a) identity, (b) motor behavior, and
  - (c) primary treatment contracting
- 2. FRIENDS or INTIMATES ↑↑ & ↓↓ effects
  - (a) alternative narratives
    - (b) support, leverage (e.g. Al Anon)
    - (c) tx. of one party  $\rightarrow \Delta$  another
- 3. SOCIETY as either Client or 3<sup>rd</sup> Party?
  - (a) affects clients' sx, language
  - (b) traumatogenic social milieu

10

### **Under-Attended Factors**

- 1. Helplessness: not a defining stressor
- 2. Re-enactment: not a defining "positive sx"
- 3. Hypnotic contagion: not listed
- 4. Altered identity (personal, social):
- 5. Concealed agency: inattention leads to
  - (a) posttraumatic polarization
  - (b) iatrogenic regression
  - (c) escalating symptomatic coercion
- 6. Societal enabling of active traumatizing

# Why Attend to Helplessness? Logically Negates AGENCY ("free will") Helplessness before (a) a mortal threat = TRAUMA! (b) posttraumatic sx → help-seeking Posttraumatic ≈ Infantile Helplessness ³ both call on others to rescue & defend ≠ Infants: AGENCY INTACT, HIDDEN ⁴ (a) misdirection → amplification (b) accessing → positive tx. result Tx, challenge = RESTORE AGENCY 5

12

# Why Focus on Re-Enactment? Spiritual nutriment of traumatic sx. Its perversity perplexed Freud, <sup>6</sup> Terr <sup>7</sup> Neurobiology ≈ chemical addictions adrenergic sensitization <sup>8</sup> ← → opioid addiction <sup>9</sup> → ↑↑↑ sx Conscripts persons/groups into service (a) disguised, rationalized, defended (b) fuels reinforcers & amplifiers Voluntary ABSTINENCE → EXTINCTION <sup>10</sup>

13

## 3. & Hypnotic Contagion? 1. Spontaneous Hypnosis, Hypnotizability → epidemics, crusades, witch hunts 2. Third Party FRAMING → Δ FORM of Sx 3. Hypnotic skill is potentially useful (a) to recognize, reframe & redirect (b) from symptomatology to healthy agency 4... but perilous. USE WITH CAUTION!!! (a) → polarizing and regressive effects (b) paradox: Δ hypnosis → NON-hypnosis AVOID RESCUE, ACCESS AGENCY

# 4. & Altered Identity? 1. Trauma marks one's sense of identity <sup>11</sup> (a) not necessarily worse, just different (b) "false self" serves traumatic re-enactment 2. Social identity also shaped by trauma <sup>12</sup> (a) "chosen traumas" (e.g., slavery, Civil War) (b) "sociodynamics" are under-explored 3. Redefining identity = tx. challenge <sup>13</sup> (a) seemingly fixed & heavily defended. but (b) socially malleable & reframable → offers a PATH OUT FROM TRAUMAS' THUMB

15

## Agency Remains Intact But Hidden, Unlike Infants In infants, (a) helplessness is total, and (b) nurturance is essential for health In trauma, pre-developed agency persists (a) often concealed, disguised, defended (b) = "dissociation", "false selves" Non-recognition &/or misdirection can paradoxically amplify, via ≥ 3 routes TX: activate all citizens' responsibilities 14

16

## 5(a). Traumatic Polarization 1. Shared Interests Pull Apart, 15 e.g., child protection tx. for trauma ←→ family integrity & presumption of innocence 2. Trauma Marks Poles' SALIENCE 16 (a) e.g., child abuse → pro-victim (b) broken families → pro-defense 3. Selective Affiliation → CONFLICT e.g., defend victims VS falsely accused Re-Enactment = Interim Victor 17

## 5(b). Regressive Dependency 1. Conflicted Relationship of Clt & Ther 18 ↑ sx →↑↑ rescue →↑↑↑ acting out 2. Vicious Circle Model 19 (a) surface-level dependency (b) threatens concealed agency (c) →↑ anxiety →↑↑↑ SX 3. TX: Access and Challenge Clients' Hidden Strengths, Responsibilities

18

### 5(c). Traumatic Coercion 1. Appeasing → ESCALATION (a) clt's symptomatic coercion <sup>20</sup> (b) coercive social sensitivities <sup>21</sup> 2. Counter-Traumatizing → same (a) ≈ fighting fire with gasoline <sup>22</sup> 3. "STANDING FIRM" = antithesis (a) difficult, as is vs. re-enactment

19

# Therapist Responsibilities Amplify These Pitfalls 1. Gaining Therapeutic Alliance ≈ ratifying victim narratives 2. Helping, e.g., tx ∆ pts' brains ≈ temptation to "rescue" 3. Duties to Protect ≈ symptomatic coercion All Confuse the LOCUS OF CONTROL

### 6. Societal Trauma-Amplifying 1. "Enabling" = Collusion, Complicity (a) against social systems' duties (b) enabling of false trauma narratives? 23 2. Media Sensationalism 3. Selective Non-Responsibility (a) victim responsibilities = sensitive 24 4. Coercive Information Control 25 (a) sensitivities nullify problem-solving

21

# e.g., Recovered Memories | 26 1. Apparent Consensus, 1993 APA Forum (a) victim memories necessarily true (b) alleged abusers presumed guilty (c) safety & recovery require therapists (d) corrective research data are seditious 2. → MASSIVE AMPLIFICATION (a) social polarizing, death threats (b) iatrogenic regression, massive scale 3. RE-ENACTMENT = Interim Victor

22

# RMC II: Society Self-Corrects 1. Advocacy: Pro-Family, -Innocence 27 2. Memory Research: Newer Data (a) traumatic memory malleable (b) debriefing & reliving = problematic (c) memory requires physical evidence 3. Post-2000: Psychiatric Tx. Shifted to build on patients' strengths BUT SX AMPLIFIERS PERSIST IN MUCH CLINICAL PRACTICE & SOCIAL TRENDS

### Third Parties = Deciders

- 1. Neither Agents Nor Targets of Trauma but interact with others in ways that
- 2. Modulate the Trauma Response
- 3. Implicit Suggestion can Amplify
  e.g., non-responsibility, dependency
- 4. Changing One Party via Another e.g., Bernian "games", Weakland
- 5. Determine the Prevailing Narrative
  - e.g., 3<sup>rd</sup> party rulings → decisions <sup>28</sup>

24

### Mitigating I: Interdicting Traumatic Re-Enactment

- 1. Identification: Is there a focal pattern?
- 2. Preparation: (a) within locus of control?
  - (b) can one recognize it, and
  - (c) accept responsibility over it?
- 3. How Can Third Parties Facilitate?
  - (a) balance support and challenge
  - (b) respectful reframing = focal 29

25

### **Mitigating II: Within-Treatment**

- 4. More Information → New Narratives
- (a) 3<sup>rd</sup> party collaterals, other sides <sup>30</sup> **5.** Access Patients' Sole Loci of Control
  - (a) alliance, contracting parties' roles 31
  - (b) game antitheses: Δ <u>rescuing</u> to <u>challenge</u>, e.g., "yes, but" to "<u>what's your plan</u>?" <sup>32</sup>
  - (c) redefine one's personal & social identity

# Standing Firm @ One's Locus of Control 1. General Principle: (a) identify one's locus of control (b) act here, to optimize probabilities (c) stand firm vs being pushed off course 2. VS Trauma Re-Enacting Interactions: (a) decline counter-tx client demands (b) moral stand + mobilize social support (c) withstand traumatizing accusations

27

## Societal Mitigation 1. 3rd Party Impact, e.g., principled juror <sup>33</sup> 2. Study Enabling 3. Defend All Parties' Responsibilities (a) legal duties of psychiatric patients <sup>34</sup> (b) appropriate risk-taking parameters <sup>35</sup> 3. De-Catastrophize 4. Open Constructive Discourse → Δ Traumatophobia → RESILIENCE

28

# Unresolved Challenges 1. Optimizing Our Therapeutic Influence (a) while respecting clients' L.O.C. <sup>36</sup> 2. Protection ←→ Holding Responsible (a) ↓ victimizing ←→ ↑ accountability 3. Re-Opening Free Speech (a) without traumatizing hate speech 4. Who is Responsible? (a) for what? to whom? at what levels?

### Selected References I.

- 1. Terr LC: Too Scared to Cry. NY: Basic Books, 1991
- Butler AS, Panzer AM, Goldfrank LR (Eds.): Preparing for the Psychological Consequences of Terrorism. Institute of Medicine, Nat Acad Press, <a href="http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10707.html">http://www.nap.edu/catalog/10707.html</a>, 2003
- 3. Reite M & Fields T (Eds.): The Psychobiology of Attachment and Separation. Academic Press, 1985
- 4. Beahrs JO: Dissociative identity disorder: adaptive deception of self and others. Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law 22:223-237, 1994
- 5. Bandura A: Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control. New York: Freeman, 1997
- 6. Freud S: Beyond the Pleasure Principle, 1920

30

### Selected References II.

- 7. Terr L: Chowchilla revisited: the effects of... a kidnapping. Am J Psychiatry 140:1543-1550, 1983
- 8. Solursh L: Trauma combat addiction: post-traumatic stress disorder re-explored. Psychiat J University of Ottawa 13:17-20, 1988
- 9. van der Kolk BA (Ed.): Psychological Trauma. American Psychiatric Press, 1987
- 10. LeDoux JE: The Emotional Brain. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1996
- 11. Lowen A: Narcissism... New York: MacMillan, 1983
- 12. Volkan V: The Need to have Enemies and Allies... New York: Jason Aronson, 1994

31

### Selected References III.

- 13. Beahrs JO: Assessing attributive causation...: psychotherapeutic results... self-therapeutic activity. Clin Neuropsychiat 3(2):154-161, 2006
- 14. Mead LM: Beyond Entitlement: The Social Obligations of Citizenship. NY: Free Press, 1986
- 15. Sunstein CR: Going to Extremes: How Like Minds Unite and Divide. Oxford U. Press, 2009
- 16. Schacter DL: Searching for Memories. Basic, 1996
- 17. Beahrs JO: Posttraumatic polarization... J Am Acad Psychiatry Law 27:335-343, 1999
- 18. Stewart H: Technique at the basic fault/regression. Int J Psycho-Anal 70:221-230, 1989

### Selected References IV.

19. Beahrs JO: Limits of Scientific Pyschiatry... New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1986

20. Eckstaedt A: Ego-syntonic object manipulation: the formation of a submissive relationship. Int J Psychoanalysis 70:499-512, 1989

 MacDonald H: The Diversity Delusion. New York: St. Martins' Press, 2018

22. Watzlawick, Beavin JH, Jackson DD: Pragmatics of Human Communication. NY: Norton, 1967

23. Burkett BG, Whitley G: Stolen Valor. Dalls TX: Verity Press, 1998

24. Sykes CJ: A Nation of Victims: The Decay of the American Character. NY: St. Martins, 1992

33

### Selected References V.

25. Powers K: The Silencing: How the Left is Killing Free Speech. Washington DC: Regnery, 2015

26. Beahrs, Cannell & Gutheil: Delayed traumatic recall i... Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law 24:45-55, 1996

27. Lipton A: Recovered memories in the courts: report of the FMSF legal survey, in S. Taub (Ed.): Recovered Memories... New York: Thomas, 1999

28. Beahrs JO: Memory as power: who is to decide? J Am Acad Psychiat Law 27:462-470, 1999

29. Watzlawick P, Weakland J, Fisch R: Change: Principles of Problem Formation... NY: Norton, 1974 30. Beahrs JO: A social brain interpretation of psychotherapy. Psychiat Ann 35(10):816-822, 2005

34

### Selected References VI.

- 31. Beahrs JO, Gutheil TG: Informed consent in psychotherapy. Am J Psychiatry 158:4-10, 2001
- 32. Berne E: Games People Play. NY: Grove, 1964
- 33. Zimbardo PG, Leippe MR: The Psychology of Attitude Change and Social Influence. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill, 1991
- 34. Beahrs JO: Legal duties of psychiatric patients. Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law 18:189-202, 1990
- 35. Beahrs JO & Rogers JL: Appropriate short-term risk in psychiatry and law. Bull Am Aad Psychiatry Law 21:53-67, 1993

36. Erickson MH: Collected Papers. Irvington, 1980